

Association for Library Collections & Technical Services
(A division of the American Library Association)
Cataloging and Classification Section

Committee on Cataloging: Description and Access

Chair's Report on CC:DA Motions and Other Actions
July 1, 2008–January 20, 2009

Motions and votes

The following is a record of motions made and votes taken between July 1, 2008 and January 20, 2009:

- A. **[DCRM(S) review Report; July, 29, 2008]:** The motion was that the report of the Task Force on the Review of Descriptive Cataloging of Rare Materials (Serials), as amended during discussion by CC:DA, be sent to the chair of the Bibliographic Standards Committee as the CC:DA response to DCRM(S). There were six votes yes and zero votes no; the motion carried.
- B. **5JSC/ Chair/13 response [Consideration of Proposals from the ISBD Review Group; November 7, 2008]:** The motion was that CC:DA authorize a response to the Consideration of proposals from the ISBD Review Group (5JSC/Chair/13), based on the reports of the CRS, PCC, and CC:AAM liaisons and on comments made via discussion on the CC:DA email list. There were seven votes yes and zero votes no; the motion carried.
- C. **[Establishment of task force to review ISBD Area 0; December 18, 2008]:** The motion was that CC:DA form a task force to review of the proposed ISBD Area 0 and accompanying examples. There were seven votes yes and zero votes no; the motion carried.

Other actions

- ***Review of complete draft of RDA, plus other related JSC documents***

During this time period CC:DA reviewed the complete draft of RDA and proposals from other JSC constituencies. Comments for the review process were gathered in the CC:DA wiki. Deadlines for comment entry were staggered:

- Dec. 22, 2008: Comments on RDA final draft
- Jan. 12, 2009: Comments on 5JSC/LC/12/Follow up, and /Follow up/2

Comments on the complete draft of RDA from non-CC:DA members in the United States were gathered using a form on the ALCTS website. Comments submitted via the form were emailed to the chair and interns and then entered into the CC:DA wiki. The deadline for

comment submission was Dec. 15 to allow time to enter the comments into the wiki by Dec. 22 for review by CC:DA members.

The review process and timeline was complicated by the conjunction of several holidays during the period. Also some connectivity issues affected the work of CC:DA for about two weeks in late December/early January. The raw comments were extracted shortly thereafter and the work of crafting the ALA response began. Discussion and action will continue through the MidWinter meeting and the weeks immediately following.

▪ ***TF for Review of ISBD Area 0***

After approval of the formation of the TF, its charge and membership were formulated. The members are: Lori P. Robare, Chair; Sylvia D. Hall-Ellis; Chamyra P. Kincy; Jeannette Ho; Edward Swanson. The charge of the TF follows:

The Task Force on the Review of the Proposed ISBD Area 0 is charged to review the ISBD Area 0 proposal submitted by IFLA for worldwide review and present a report to CC:DA as the foundation for the reply to IFLA.

In its review and report, the Task Force should consider how well the proposal meets its intended purpose to improve bibliographic control and access to resources, particularly those in multiple formats and those published in more than one physical medium.

Since the following standards are mentioned in the IFLA announcement as foundational to its work in developing the ISBD 0 proposal, the Task Force may wish to consider the consistency of the proposal with them: IME ICC; version 1.0 of the RDA/ONIX Framework for Resource Categorization; and the current draft of Resource Description and Access (RDA) (particularly Chapter 3)

The report of the Task Force is due to the Chair of CC:DA by January 20, 2009 for discussion at the ALA Mid-Winter Meeting. Reviewing agencies are asked to reply to IFLA by January 30, 2009.

The TF's report will be discussed later in this meeting. Due to the previously mentioned connectivity issues, the TF charge and roster was unable to be finalized until Jan. 7, 2009. They have worked diligently under a tight deadline to provide their report on time.

▪ ***Public CC:DA email discussion list***

Due to a misunderstanding on the part of the Chair, no further action was taken on implementation of Option 2 for revision of the management of the CC:DA email discussion lists. Option 2 consisted of: using rules@ala.org as the main CC:DA list where CC:DA members can post and the public would be read-only subscribers. The ccda@ala.org email list would no longer be active, but the message archive would still be available to CC:DA members. The message archive for rules@ala.org would start at the date of the switchover.

The misunderstanding has been cleared up and the Chair is now scheduled to work with Charles Wilt to make the appropriate migration in February, 2009.